



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,328	10/31/2003	Edward Alan Clark	LUC-434/Clark 11	9806
47382	7590	07/09/2008	EXAMINER	
PATTI , HEWITT & AREZINA LLC ONE NORTH LASALLE STREET 44TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60602			SHIN, KYUNG H	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		2143		
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
07/09/2008	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/698,328	Applicant(s) CLARK, EDWARD ALAN
	Examiner KYUNG H. SHIN	Art Unit 2143

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(o).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 August 2007.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08e)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 - 22 are pending. Claims 1, 17, 20 have been amended. Claim 22 is new. Claims 1, 17, 20 are independent.

- 101 rejections have been withdrawn due to specification modifications.
- Objections to the drawings have been withdrawn due to the specification modifications.
- Objections to the Abstract have been withdrawn due to new abstract of disclosure.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claims 1 - 6, 8 - 14, 17 - 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Savage, III et al. (US PGPUB No. 20010009014) in view of Battle (US Patent No. 6,081,592).**

Regarding Claim 1, Savage discloses an apparatus, comprising:

- 1) one or more application server components that transmit one or more user inputs to one or more telephony devices on a call through employment of one or more data streams associated with the call. (Savage para 017, II 1-6; multiple servers, multiple clients (i.e. telephony devices); para 108, II 5-9: telephony devices (i.e. electronic transmission of voice, RTP); para 017, II 8-14: client requests (i.e. user inputs); para 019, II 8-15; para 089, II 1-6; para 052, II 1-7: data transmissions between multiple clients (i.e. telephony devices) utilizing servers, conference communications)
- 2) wherein the one or more application server components establish the one or more data streams via employment of
 - a) one or more data stream request messages (Savage para 017, II 8-14: client requests (i.e. user inputs); para 048, II 1-13; para 049, II 4-5: in response to setup call (request))
 - b) one or more identifiers which distinguish calls associated with one or more application server components (Savage para 091, II 6-15: stream, identifier; para 050, II 1-8: as identifier within parameter)

Savage does not explicitly disclose one or more application server components select the one or more identifiers through employment of i) a random selection method, ii) a static selection method or iii) a priority selection method. However, Battle discloses wherein the one or more application server components select the one or more identifiers through employment of i) a random selection method, ii) a static selection method or iii) a priority selection method. (Battle col 29, II 55-

57: random method is used to select; call station selected from the list of eligible call stations; col 30, ll 2-7: random number is computed which ranges from 1 to number of call stations; call station at the position of random number becomes the one selected)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Savage for one or more application server components to select the one or more identifiers through employment of i) a random selection method, ii) a static selection method, or iii) a priority selection method as taught by Battle. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Battle in order for greater flexibility in structuring both regular and call-handling tasks to automatically direct call work to individuals. (Battle col 3, ll 17-20: "... *Also present methods don't support the mixing of work types--regular work and call work--thereby foregoing the more extensive use of new call support technologies and the added variety and extra scope that could enrich jobs and lead to accompanying benefits from lower turnover and more enthusiastic work performances. ...*")

Regarding Claim 2, Savage discloses the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the one or more application server components (Savage Figure 1; para 017, ll 1-6: server(s), facilitate communications between clients) cooperate with the one or more telephony devices to establish one or more web portals that are employable by the one or more telephony devices to initiate the one or more user inputs. (Savage para 011, ll 4-9; para

005, II 1-5: web portals interface, para 023, II 1-6: user interface (i.e. at web portal) for client (i.e. user) inputs)

Regarding Claim 3, Savage discloses the apparatus of claim 2, wherein the one or more application server components (Figure 1; para 017, II 1-6: server(s), facilitate communications between clients) employ the one or more web portals to receive the one or more user inputs from the one or more telephony devices. (Savage para 011, II 1-9; para 005, II 1-5: web portals, real-time communications between clients; para 019, II 8-15; para 089, II 4-6: user inputs transferred between clients (i.e. telephony devices))

Regarding Claim 4, Savage discloses the apparatus of claim 2, wherein the one or more application server components (Figure 1; para 017, II 1-6: server(s), facilitate communications between clients) associate the one or more web portals with the one or more data streams. (Savage para 011, II 1-9: web portal, real-time communications among multiple clients; para 019, II 8-15; para 089, II 4-6: server(s) control communications (i.e. data streams) between clients)

Regarding Claim 5, Savage discloses the apparatus of claim 2, wherein the one or more application server components (Figure 1; para 017, II 1-6: server(s), facilitate communications between clients) provide one or more interfaces through employment of the one or more web portals for employment by the one or more telephony devices to initiate the one or more user inputs. (Savage para 017, II 8-14; para 022, II 1-11: setup

of data streams between two clients)

Regarding Claim 6, Savage discloses the apparatus of claim 2, wherein the one or more application server components (Figure 1; para 019, II 1-4: server(s), facilitate communications between clients) employ an internet protocol to establish the one or more web portals. (Savage para 108, II 5-9; para 095, II 1-7: RTP, UDP/IP (i.e. Internet protocols) utilized; para 040, II 3-6: Internet communications between servers and clients)

Regarding Claim 8, Savage discloses the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the one or more application server components allow the one or more telephony devices to interact through employment of the one or more data streams. (Savage para 019, II 8-15; para 089, II 4-6: data streams (i.e. incoming and outgoing) utilized for communications between clients, controlled by servers)

Regarding Claim 9, Savage discloses the apparatus of claim 8,

- wherein the one or more application server components employ the one or more data streams to transfer data related to one or more interactions available to the one or more telephony devices; (Savage para 019, II 8-15; para 086, II 1-6: server(s) control communications between multiple clients (i.e. telephony devices)) and
- wherein the one or more application server components provide the one or more

interactions to the one or more telephony devices for employment by the one or more telephony devices to interact with one or more of the one or more telephony devices. (Savage para 019, II 8-15; para 086, II 1-6: server(s) control the communications (i.e. interactions) between multiple clients (i.e. telephony devices))

Regarding Claim 10, Savage discloses the apparatus of claim 9, wherein the one or more application server components associate the call with the one or more interactions available, and wherein the one or more application server components provide the one or more interactions available that allow the telephony devices to initiate the one or more user inputs from the one or more available interactions. (Savage para 022, II 1-11; para 020, II 8-16: server (i.e. dispatch server) initiates communications for clients (i.e. telephony device))

Regarding Claim 11, Savage discloses the apparatus of claim 8,

- wherein the one or more application server components comprise a first application server component and a second application server component, and wherein the one or more telephony devices comprise a first telephony device and a second telephony device; (Savage Figure 1; para 017, II 1-6: multiple server (i.e. application server), multiple clients (i.e. telephony devices)): and
- wherein the first application server component provides one or more interactions available to the first telephony device that allow the first telephony device to

- initiate a user input from the one or more interactions available; (Savage para 017, II 8-14; para 023, II 1-6: user interface to initiate communications, conference) and
- c) wherein in response to the user input from the first telephony device to the first application server component, the first application server component transmits the user input to the second application server component through employment of the one or more data streams; (Savage para 019, II 8-15; para 089, II 4-6: data streams utilized for communications between clients (i.e. telephony devices)) and
 - d) wherein the second application server component provides the user input to the second telephony device. (Savage para 019, II 8-15; para 089, II 1-6: server(s) control communications for clients (i.e. first, second telephony devices))

Regarding Claim 12, Savage discloses the apparatus of claim 11,

- a) wherein the user input comprises a first user input of the one or more user inputs, and wherein the second telephony device initiates a second user input to the first telephony device; (Savage para 019, II 8-15; para 089, II 1-8; para 052, II 1-7: first, second clients (i.e. first, second telephony devices) in communications, conference capability, multiple clients (i.e. telephony devices) in communications) and
- b) wherein the first application server component and the second application server component cooperate to transmit the second user input to the first application server component through employment of the one or more data streams;

(Savage para 048, II 3-6: dispatch server, media server communicate for authentication, authentication server validates request and transmits request to dispatch server; para 052, II 1-7: multiple clients (i.e. telephony devices) in communications)

- c) wherein the first application server component provides the second user input to the first telephony device. (Savage para 019, II 8-15; para 089, II 1-6: data stream, input/response for clients (i.e. telephony devices), multiple clients (i.e. telephony devices) in communications)

Regarding Claim 13, Savage discloses the apparatus of claim 2,

- a) wherein the one or more user inputs comprise one or more sales interactions (Savage para 056, II 8-14: sales function interaction), wherein the one or more telephony devices comprise a first telephony device and a second telephony device; (Savage Figure 1; para 011, II 1-4: multiple clients (i.e. first, second telephony devices))
- b) wherein the one or more application server components provide the one or more sales interactions (Savage para 056, II 8-14: sales function interaction) that allow the first telephony device to initiate one or more of the one or more sales interactions to the second telephony device; (Savage para 019, II 8-15; para 089, II 4-6: information exchanged between multiple clients (i.e. first, second)) and
- c) wherein the one or more application server components cooperate to transmit the one or more of the one or more sales interactions (Savage para 056, II 8-14:

sales function interaction) from the first telephony device to the second telephony device through employment of the one or more data streams. (Savage para 019, II 8-15; para 089, II 1-6: data streams (i.e. incoming, outgoing) transmit information between clients (i.e. telephony devices))

Regarding Claim 14, Savage discloses the apparatus of claim 13,

- a) wherein the one or more sales interactions (Savage para 056, II 8-14: sales function interaction) comprise a request for authorization, and wherein the one or more application server components provide the one or more sales interactions that allow the first telephony device to initiate the request for authorization to the second telephony device; (Savage para 048, II 1-13; para 073, II 1-9: authentication, validation request for client) and
- b) wherein in response to the request for authorization from the first telephony device to the first application server component, the first application server component transmits the request for authorization to the second application server component through employment of the one or more data streams; (Savage para 048, II 3-6: servers communicate for authentication, authentication server validates request and transmits request to dispatch server)
- c) wherein the second application server component provides the request for authorization to the second telephony device that allows the second telephony device to initiate a response to the request for authorization. (Savage para 048, II 1-13; para 073, II 1-9: authentication, validation request of clients (i.e. first,

second telephony devices))

Regarding Claim 17, Savage discloses a method, comprising the step of:

- 1) transmitting one or more user inputs to one or more telephony devices on a call through employment of one or more data streams associated with the call.
(Savage para 017, II 1-6: multiple clients (i.e. telephony devices); para 019, II 8-15; para 089, II 4-6: data stream (i.e. user inputs/responses) transmitted between clients)
- 2) wherein the one or more application server components establish the one or more data streams via employment of
 - a) one or more data stream request messages (Savage para 017, lines 8-14: client requests (i.e. user inputs); para 048, II 1-13; para 049, II 4-5: in response to setup call (request))
 - b) one or more identifiers which distinguish calls associated with one or more application server components (Savage para 091, II 6-15: stream, identifier; para 050, II 1-8: as identifier within parameter)

Savage does not explicitly disclose one or more application server components select the one or more identifiers through employment of i) a random selection method, ii) a static selection method or iii) a priority selection method. However, Battle discloses wherein the one or more application server components select the one or more identifiers through employment of i) a random selection method, ii) a static selection method or iii) a priority selection method. (Battle col 29, II 55-

57: random method is used to select; call station selected from the list of eligible call stations; col 30, ll 2-7: random number is computed which ranges from 1 to number of call stations; call station at the position of random number becomes the one selected)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Savage for one or more application server components to select the one or more identifiers through employment of i) a random selection method, ii) a static selection method, or iii) a priority selection method as taught by Battle. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Battle in order for greater flexibility in structuring both regular and call-handling tasks to automatically direct call work to individuals. (Battle col 3, ll 17-20)

Regarding Claim 18, Savage discloses the method of claim 17, wherein the step of transmitting the one or more user inputs the one or more telephony devices on the call through employment of the one or more data streams associated with the call comprises the steps of:

- a) establishing one or more web portals with the one or more telephony devices; (Savage para 011, ll 1-9: web portal, communications with multiple clients (i.e. telephony devices))
- b) initiating the one or more user inputs through employment of the one or more web portals; (Savage para 011, ll 1-9: web portals, real-time communications between portal and clients (i.e. telephony devices); para 023, ll 1-6: user

- interface, user inputs) and
- c) transmitting the one or more user inputs through employment of the one or more data streams. (Savage para 019, II 8-15; para 089, II 1-6: data stream transmissions for client (i.e. user) inputs/responses)

Regarding Claim 19, Savage discloses the method of claim 18, wherein the one or more telephony devices comprise a first telephony device and a second telephony device, and wherein the step of transmitting the one or more user inputs through employment of the one or more data streams comprises the steps of:

- a) associating the one or more web portals with the call; (Savage para 011, II 1-9: web portal; para 040, II 3-6: communications network; para 051, II 5-26: call setup/communications capabilities) and
- b) associating the one or more web portals with the one or more data streams. (Savage para 011, II 1-9: portals communications; para 089, II 4-6; para 019, II 8-15: data stream (i.e. incoming, outgoing), communications between clients (i.e. telephony devices))

Regarding Claim 20, Savage discloses a computer-readable medium having computer executable instructions for performing steps, comprising:

- 1) means in the one or more media for transmitting one or more user inputs to one or more telephony devices on a call through employment of one or more data streams associated with the call. (Savage para 131, II 1-8: software,

implementation means)

- 2) wherein the one or more application server components establish the one or more data streams via employment of
 - a) one or more data stream request messages (Savage para 017, II 8-14: client requests (i.e. user inputs); para 048, II 1-13; para 049, II 4-5: in response to setup call (request))
 - b) one or more identifiers which distinguish calls associated with one or more application server components (Savage para 091, II 6-15: stream, identifier: para 050, II 1-8: as identifier within parameter)

Savage does not explicitly disclose one or more application server components select the one or more identifiers through employment of i) a random selection method, ii) a static selection method or iii) a priority selection method. However, Battle discloses wherein the one or more application server components select the one or more identifiers through employment of i) a random selection method, ii) a static selection method or iii) a priority selection method. (Battle col 29, II 55-57: random method is used to select; call station selected from the list of eligible call stations; col 30, II 2-7: random number is computed which ranges from 1 to number of call stations; call station at the position of random number becomes the one selected)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Savage for one or more application server components to select the one or more identifiers through employment of i) a random selection method, ii) a static

selection method, or iii) a priority selection method as taught by Battle. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Battle in order for greater flexibility in structuring both regular and call-handling tasks to automatically direct call work to individuals. (Battle col 3, ll 17-20)

Regarding Claim 21, Savage discloses the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the one or more identifiers comprise a network address, a port number, and an identification tag. (Savage para 050, ll 1-8: as identifier within parameter; para 094, 13-16: source ID (used to identify client))

Regarding Claim 22, Savage discloses the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the one or more application server components select the one or more identifiers through employment of i) the random *selection* method, ii) the static selection method or iii) the priority, selection method, or iv) based on information associated with the call provided by a switch component. (Battle Figure 1 (40): switch component; col 29, ll 55-57: random method is used to select; call station selected from the list of eligible call stations; col 30, ll 2-7: random number is computed which ranges from 1 to number of call stations; call station at the position of random number becomes the one selected)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Savage for one or more application server components to select the one or more identifiers through employment of i) a random selection method, ii) a static selection method, or iii) a priority selection method as taught by Battle. One of ordinary skill in the art would have

been motivated to employ the teachings of Battle in order for greater flexibility in structuring both regular and call-handling tasks to automatically direct call work to individuals. (Battle col 3, ll 17-20)

5. Claims 7, 15, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Savage-Battle** and further in view of **Cloutier et al.** (US PGPUB No. 20040015405).

Regarding Claim 7, Savage discloses the apparatus of claim 6, further comprising wherein the internet protocol, wherein the one or more application server components employ communications to establish the one or more web portals. (Savage para 040, ll 3-6: Internet communications; para 011, ll 4-9; para 005, ll 1-5: web portals interface)

Savage does not explicitly disclose the usage of HTTP communications protocol. However, Cloutier discloses:

- wherein the internet protocol comprises a HyperText Transport Protocol (HTTP);
(Cloutier para 016, ll 5-11: telephony services; para 058, ll 5-12: web portal capabilities; para 055, ll 5-9; HTTP protocol, HTML language); and
- wherein employ the HyperText Transport Protocol (Cloutier para 016, ll 5-11: telephony services; para 058, ll 5-12: web portal capabilities; para 055, ll 5-9; HTTP protocol, HTML language)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Savage as taught by Cloutier to enable the capability to the HTTP protocol and HTML

language. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Cloutier in order to enable a more efficient service provider selection process by providing a single interface to evaluate broadband service providers.

(Cloutier para 092, II 10-16: “*... Current HFC open access systems do not allow for an end-user to select among multiple SPs via a single user interface. This invention improves the SP selection process, and thus contributes to more efficient service selection and activation by enabling the end-user to access a single interface, which can be used to evaluate and select a desired SP for broadband services. ...*”)

Regarding Claim 15, Savage discloses the apparatus of claim 2,

- a) wherein the one or more user inputs comprise one or more support interactions, and wherein the one or more telephony devices comprise a first telephony device and a second telephony device; (Savage para 017, II 1-6: multiple clients (i.e. first, second telephony devices); para 019, II 8-15; para 089, II 1-6: communications between clients (i.e. first, second telephony devices)) and
- b) wherein the one or more application server components provide the one or more support interactions that allow the first telephony device to initiate one or more of the one or more interactions to the second telephony device; (Savage para 019, II 8-15; para 089, II 1-6: communications between clients; para 052, II 1-7: multiple client communications, conference) and
- c) wherein the one or more application server components cooperate to transmit the one or more of the one or more interactions to the second telephony device

through employment of the one or more data streams. (Savage para 019, II 8-15; para 089, II 1-6: communications between clients; para 052, II 1-7: multiple client communications, conference)

Savage does not explicitly disclose one or more support interactions. However, Cloutier discloses wherein one or more support interactions. (Cloutier para 016, II 5-11: telephony services; para 058, II 5-12: web portal capabilities; para 026, II 1-4; para 046, II 10-23: support services interactions)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Savage as taught by Cloutier to enable the capability to utilize support interaction. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Cloutier in order to enable a more efficient service provider selection process by providing a single interface to evaluate broadband service providers. (Cloutier para 092, II 10-16)

- Regarding Claim 16,** Savage discloses the apparatus of claim 15,
- wherein the one or more support interactions comprise a service, and wherein the one or more application server components provide the one or more interactions to allow a user of the first telephony device to initiate the service to the second telephony device; (Savage para 019, II 8-15; para 089, II 1-6: communications, interactions between first and second client (i.e. first, second telephony devices)) and
 - wherein in response to the service from the first telephony device to the one or

more application server components, the one or more application server components transmit the service to the second telephony device through employment of the one or more data streams; (Savage para 019, ll 8-15; para 089, ll 1-6: communications, interactions between first and second client (i.e. first, second telephony devices)) and

- c) wherein the one or more application server components provide the service to the second telephony device that allows the first telephony device to interact with the second telephony device. (Savage para 019, ll 8-15; para 089, ll 1-6; para 052, ll 1-7: communications, interaction between first and second client (i.e. first, second telephony devices))

Savage does not explicitly disclose diagnostic service interactions.

However, Cloutier discloses wherein diagnostic service. (Cloutier para 016, ll 5-11: telephony services; para 058, ll 5-12: web portal capabilities; para 047, ll 4-10; para 062, ll 1-9: maintenance (i.e. diagnostic) service interactions)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Savage as taught by Cloutier to enable the capability to utilize diagnostic service interactions. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Cloutier in order to enable a more efficient service provider selection process by providing a single interface to evaluate broadband service providers. (Cloutier para 092, ll 10-16)

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KYUNG H. SHIN whose telephone number is (571) 272-3920. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. FLYNN can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kyung Hye Shin
Examiner
Art Unit 2143

KHS
July 1, 2008

/Nathan J. Flynn/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2143